#### WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

### **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	Esn	eralda Chavez-Ava	los	Case Number:	12-2226M	<del></del>	
	ordance are estab		- ','	detention hearing has	been submitted. I conclude that the fo	ollowing	
×	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
×		by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending rial in this case.					
			PART I FIN	IDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	- , , , , ,	•	,	deral offense)(state or local offense tha urisdiction had existed) that is	at would	
		a crime of violer	ice as defined in 18 U	.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
		an offense for w	hich the maximum se	ntence is life imprisonr	ment or death.		
		an offense for w	hich a maximum term	of imprisonment of te	n years or more is prescribed in	1	
		a felony that was described in 18	s committed after the U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)	defendant had been co-(C), or comparable s	onvicted of two or more prior federal c tate or local offenses.	offenses	
			terms are defined in s		possession or use of a firearm or des ner dangerous weapon, or involves a f		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(E pending trial for a federa	8 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release ending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			(release		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and reasonably assure the significant rebutted this presumption	ndings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions wi asonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has no butted this presumption.			ions will has not	
			Alternat	ive Findings			
X	(1)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3):	There is probable car	use to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense		
		for which a maxi and 841	mum term of imprison	ment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 952, 9	)60, 96 <u>3</u>	
		under 18 U.S.C.	§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2	2332(b).			
		under 18 U.S.C.	§ 1581-1594, for which	n a maximum term of in	nprisonment of 20 years or more is pre	scribed.	
		an offense invol	ving a minor victim un	der section	2		
×	(2)	The defendant has not	rebutted the presump	otion established by fi	inding 1 that no condition or combin as required and the safety of the comm	ation of nunity.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$ 

### 

ΔItΔ	rnativ	Δ Finα	annir!
	ıııatıv		มแนง

(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

# 

	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a correct appeal. of the U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in tions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court nited States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
deliver a Court. F of service	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date see of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
Service	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial s sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and ate the potential third party custodian.
DATE	: December 17, 2012  James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge